

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/011,023	11/02/2001	Laurent Scallie	ATL-P2	7571
26793	7590 03/28/2003			
LEIGHTON		EXAMINER		
841 BISHOP	CHONG & FLAHERTY STREET, SUITE 1200	(HAWAII)	JONES, SCOTT E	
HONOLULU	, 11 90013		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3713	2
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) Applicant(s) SCALLIE, LAURENT Examiner Scatt Jones ST13 ST313						
Office Action Summary Examiner Scott E. Jones 3713	.0	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Scott E. Jones 3713 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a time of the considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and the considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and the considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and the considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is the replaced period to the considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is the replaced period to the considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is the replaced period to the considered timely. If the period for replaced period to the considered timely. If the period for replaced period to the considered timely. If the period for replaced period to the considered timely. If the period to replace the period to the considered timely. If the period to the period to the considered timely. If the period to the maintenance is the period to the maintenance and the period to the maintenance and the period to the maintenance and the period to the timely fleet. The period to the maintenance and the period to the dawning (s) the fleet of the period to the dawning (s) the fleet of the period to the dawning timely. If the period to the dawning timely to the Examiner. Application Papers 9(S) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9(S) The period drawing correction filed on is an excepted or by approved by the period to the dawning timely to this Office action. 11) The proposed drawing correction		10/011,023	SCALLIE, LAURENT			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edentions of time may be a validate under the processors of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely field Edentions of time may be a validate under the processors of 3 CFR 1.73(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely field If the period for reply specified shows be less at here they (00) days, a reply which the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered sinely. If No period for reply specified shows, be maximum statutory period will apply and will explose (NJ, MONTH) for the femiling date of this communication. False being which the set or extended period for reply will, by statutory period will apply and will explose (NJ, MONTH) for the femiling date of this communication. False being which the set or extended period for reply will, by statutory period will apply and will explose (NJ, MONTH) for the menting date of this communication. False being which the set or extended period for reply will, by statutory period will apply and will reply statutory and will be period to this communication. False being which the set or extended period for reply will, by statutory and will be period to the date communication. False being which the set or extended period for reply will, by statutory and will be period to the date communication. False being which the set or extended period of the scenario of the scenario of the ments in closed in accordance with the practice under Explored Communication set of the ments in closed in accordance with the practice under Explored False of the ments in closed in accordance with the practice under Explored Communication. False being set of the statutory of the practice under Explored False of the ments in closed in accordance with the practice under Explored Communication. False being set of the statutory o	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. • Set Strip MONTHS been to a mailting date of this communication. • If the period to righy sected active use that set the term of the period of the period will apply and will expire Str. (6) MONTHS from the mailting date of this communication. • If the period to righy sected active, the maximum statutory pained will apply and will expire Str. (6) MONTHS from the mailting date of this communication. • If the period to righy sected active than there months after the mailting date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seamed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b). • Status 1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 22 November 2001. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex partie Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s)						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extentions of the many be available under the provision of 30° GPR 1.35(q), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. If the period for right specified above is loss bitan hirty (30) days, a reply within the stitutory minimum of thinty (30) days, a reply within the stitutory minimum of thinty (30) days, a reply within the stitutory minimum of thinty (30) days, a reply within the stitutory minimum of thinty (30) days, a reply within the stitutory minimum of thinty (30) days, a reply within the stitutory minimum of thinty (30) days, a reply within the stitutory minimum of thinty (30) days, a reply within the stitutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for right specified above is loss than hirty (30) days, a reply within the stitutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for right specified it is described in the stitutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for right specified time months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search gates the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any search gates the mailing date of this communication. It is prospected to communication (s) filled on Q2 November 2001 20) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex partie Quayle, 1935 c.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) Is a septiment of the search		ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>92 November 2001</i> . 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) <i>1-14</i> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 N	November 2001 .				
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3 All b Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) 21 Notice of Oreftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)						
A) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· <u> </u>	ance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 11 ☒ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some *c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Breferences Cited (PTO-892) 3 □ Notice of International Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application					
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
8	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.					
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	•	r election requirement.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	···					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Whotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)					
The state of the s		5) Notice of Informa				

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it exceeds 150 words as required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.72(b). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - information is missing on page 8, line 29.
 - information is missing on page 11, line 9.

Correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. It is noted that claim 7 recites "the Internet". While the term "Internet" is trademarked for goods and services, it is not presently trademarked for the service of a computer network. However, it is a term that is relative given both the rate at which technology is evolving, and misuse by modern media. The Internet is an infrastructure that supports the transmission of electronic data. It consists of all servers, routers, telephone lines, satellites, and other communications instruments used to convey electronic data, including Web sites, e-mail, usenets, and newsgroups, from one point to another. By using the term Internet, Applicant must be careful to delineate whether intending to claim the infrastructure of the Internet, or use of the infrastructure. Furthermore, what is accepted as the conventional scope of the Internet today, in terms of infrastructure, is quite different from that which was accepted as briefly as five years ago, and it is unknown what will be accepted as the "Internet" of tomorrow. For these reasons, it is strongly urged that Applicant consider using more generic computer network terminology to claim the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/011,023 Page 3

Art Unit: 3713

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 5. Claims 2, 5, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Claim 2 recites the limitation "the log files" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Applicant claims a computer generates "a log file" (singular) in line 2, but then recites "the log files" (plural) in line 3.
- 7. Claim 5 recites the limitation "the common activation, termination, and control logic for the game" in lines 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 8. Regarding Claim 9, lines 2-4, the language, "seeing how their buddies are doing" is unclear. How does a player see how their buddies are doing?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wain (4,335,809).

Wain discloses a system and method for both gaming and non-gaming amusement machines (satellite computers) linked via a communications network to a main control device

,

Art Unit: 3713

(mission control), wherein the gaming and non-gaming amusement machines can be controlled independently or collectively to play one of a plurality of games by the main control device (mission control). Wain additionally discloses:

Regarding Claims 1 and 10:

- a mission control computer (30) which operates administrative programs for performing administrative functions for multiple game playing stations connected by the network (Figure 1);
- a plurality of game playing satellite computers (22) provided at respective game playing stations each maintaining a plurality of game programs (Figure 1);
- a network (2) connecting the mission control computer to the plurality of game playing satellite computers (Figure 1, and Column 6, line 33-37),
- wherein said mission control computer includes a mission control program for
 controlling the plurality of games available to be played on the game playing satellite
 computers by issuing generic control commands to the game playing satellite
 computers (Column 3, line 17-29), and
- wherein each of said game playing satellite computers includes a satellite game control program for controlling each of the plurality of game programs available to be played on the satellite computer by receiving a generic control command to start a selected game program issued by said mission control computer and loading in response thereto a game-specific command set corresponding to the selected game program, and by providing said mission control computer with a status report of the status of the selected game program being played on the satellite computer (Abstract,

Art Unit: 3713

Column 2, line 57-Column 4, line 3, Column 7, line 13-23, and Column 7, lines 37-45).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 2, 3, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wain (4,335,809) in view of Acres (U.S. 6,431,983).

Wain discloses that as discussed above regarding claims 1 and 10. Wain seems to lack explicitly disclosing:

Regarding Claims 2 and 11:

a game program on a satellite computer generates a log file tracking the operation of
the game program, and said satellite game control program parses the log files for
predetermined keywords indicative of desired status information and provides the
status information to the mission control program.

Regarding Claims 3 and 12:

 a game program on a satellite computer generates one or more of the following sources of information tracking the operation of the game program, and said satellite game control program parses the source of information for desired status information and provides the status information to the mission control program: game log files;
 dialog boxes or windows opened by the game program; messages from the

Art Unit: 3713

Notification API; and a method used by the game program for external communications.

Acres teaches of a method and system for providing an incentive to play gaming devices connected by a network to a host computer. The system additionally tracks player activity via a smart card inserted by the player into the gaming machines. Acres and Wain are clearly analogous art because both relate to gaming machines connected to a host computer via a network. Furthermore, Acres teaches:

Regarding Claims 2 and 11:

a game program on a satellite computer generates a log file tracking the operation of
the game program, and said satellite game control program parses the log files for
predetermined keywords indicative of desired status information and provides the
status information to the mission control program (Column 31, line 9-Column 32, line
48).

Regarding Claims 3 and 12:

• a game program on a satellite computer generates one or more of the following sources of information tracking the operation of the game program, and said satellite game control program parses the source of information for desired status information and provides the status information to the mission control program: game log files; dialog boxes or windows opened by the game program; messages from the Notification API; and a method used by the game program for external communications (Column 31, line 9-Column 32, line 48).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the applicant's invention, to incorporate the log file tracking features of Acres in Wain. One would be motivated to do so because this would enhance Wain's system to operate administrative programs, such as scheduling the transfer of new game or programming information, in an efficient manner.

Claims 4-9 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wain (4,335,809) in view of Ehrman (U.S. 5,984,786).

Wain discloses that as discussed above regarding claims 1 and 10. Wain seems to lack explicitly disclosing:

Regarding Claims 4 and 13:

wherein the satellite game control program maintains a database of game-specific command sets for each of the game programs offered on the satellite computer, and, when a control command is issued by the mission control computer to start a particular game, the satellite control program loads the corresponding game-specific command set from its database.

Regarding Claims 5 and 14:

wherein said game-specific command sets are derived by analyzing each game
 program and determining the common activation, termination and control logic for the game.

Regarding Claim 6:

 wherein said mission control program maintains a database-of game data based upon information provided by the satellite game playing computers, and generates one or

Art Unit: 3713

more administrative reports from the group consisting of: system-wide gaming reports; membership and player statistics; detailed statistics on specific games played by specific players; current status of the system, hardware, and software troubleshooting.

Regarding Claim 7:

 wherein a plurality of mission control computers are maintained at respective mission control sites and are connected via a network to a network server that provides an online interface of the mission control system to the Internet.

Regarding Claim 8:

 wherein said network server includes a master database for replicating game data from the mission control sites.

Regarding Claim 9:

wherein said online interface allows players to perform one or more activities of the
group consisting of: looking up statistics for games they have played; seeing how
their buddies are doing; seeing statistics for comparison at other sites; downloading
statistics for their own later use; maintaining their accounts; joining or maintaining
their status with a group of players; and communicating with other players.

Ehrman teaches of a run-time environment for multi-player, networked games which can be used to run many different types of games. The game model includes a database which stores the objects and their properties as well as the rules of the specific game, where the rules are to be executed on the objects and their properties. Ehrman and Wain are clearly analogous art because Art Unit: 3713

both relate to gaming machines connected to a host computer via a network. Furthermore, Ehrman teaches:

Regarding Claims 4 and 13:

• wherein the satellite game control program maintains a database of game-specific command sets for each of the game programs offered on the satellite computer, and, when a control command is issued by the mission control computer to start a particular game, the satellite control program loads the corresponding game-specific command set from its database (Abstract, Figures 1, 3A, 3B, Column 1, line 56-Column 2, line 54).

Regarding Claims 5 and 14:

wherein said game-specific command sets are derived by analyzing each game
 program and determining the common activation, termination and control logic for
 the game (Abstract, Figures 1, 3A, 3B, Column 1, line 56-Column 2, line 54).

Regarding Claim 6:

wherein said mission control program maintains a database of game data based upon information provided by the satellite game playing computers, and generates one or more administrative reports from the group consisting of: system-wide gaming reports; membership and player statistics; detailed statistics on specific games played by specific players; current status of the system, hardware, and software troubleshooting (Column 7, line 64-Column 8, line 10, and Column 8, lines 22-34).

Regarding Claim 7:

Art Unit: 3713

• wherein a plurality of mission control computers are maintained at respective mission control sites and are connected via a network to a network server that provides an online interface of the mission control system to the Internet (Abstract, Figures 1, 3A, 3B, Column 1, line 56-Column 2, line 54).

Regarding Claim 8:

 wherein said network server includes a master database for replicating game data from the mission control sites (Abstract, Figures 1, 3A, 3B, Column 1, line 56-Column 2, line 54).

Regarding Claim 9:

• wherein said online interface allows players to perform one or more activities of the group consisting of: looking up statistics for games they have played; seeing how their buddies are doing; seeing statistics for comparison at other sites; downloading statistics for their own later use; maintaining their accounts; joining or maintaining their status with a group of players; and communicating with other players (Column 7, line 64-Column 8, line 34).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the applicant's invention, to incorporate the database features of Ehrman in Wain. One would be motivated to do so because this allows for a master set of rules and graphics, etc. to be stored in a database residing on a host computer and automatically updating player's gaming machines when a particular game is selected to be played via a network connection.

Art Unit: 3713

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Tashiro et al. '199, Tillery et al. '155, Sitrick '509, Ng '855, Harlick '951, Ohashi et al. '476, Eiba '516, Norman et al. '305, Fennell, Jr. et al. '400, Perlman '257, Takenouchi et al. '528, Hilgendorf et al. '800, Hallman Jr. et al. '549, Sabaliauskas '510, Richardson '887, Ishida '638, Hagiwara '907, Troy et al. '197, Lucero et al. '709, Sonoda et al. '068, and Martin '765 disclose systems and methods for gaming machines connected via a computer network.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott E. Jones whose telephone number is (703) 308-7133. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 A.M. - 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Valencia Martin-Wallace can be reached on (703) 308-4119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

SEJ

sej

March 19, 2003

S. THOMAS HUGHES

TECHNIC DEV CENTER 3700